

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONNIE GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. DOERER,

Defendant.

No. 1:24-cv-01183 GSA (PC)

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY THIS MATTER SHOULD NOT
BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO OBEY
COURT ORDERS

(See ECF Nos. 2, 5)

PLAINTIFF'S SHOWING OF CAUSE, OR IN
THE ALTERNATIVE, HIS RESPONSE TO
COURT ORDER DUE IN THIRTY DAYS

Plaintiff, a federal inmate proceeding pro se, appears to have filed this civil rights action to seek relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See ECF No. 1 (complaint). The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

For the reasons stated below, Plaintiff will be ordered to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to obey court orders. In the alternative, Plaintiff may either pay the filing fee in full or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis, along with a copy of his current six-month prison trust fund account statement, consistent with the Court's orders. See ECF Nos. 2, 5. Plaintiff shall have thirty days to take either course of action.

1 I. RELEVANT BACKGROUND

2 On October 3, 2024, Plaintiff was ordered to file an application to proceed in forma
3 pauperis and a signed complaint with the case number that has been assigned to him. See ECF
4 No. 2 at 9. He was also ordered to complete an in forma pauperis application. Id.

5 On November 18, 2024, Plaintiff's complaint was docketed. ECF No. 4. Plaintiff had
6 not, however, paid the filing fee in full nor submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis
7 along with the six-month prison trust fund account statement that prisoners are required to file
8 with in forma pauperis applications. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). As a result, on November 21,
9 2024, Plaintiff was specifically ordered to take either action and was given thirty days to do so.
10 See ECF No. 5.

11 To date, Plaintiff has not fully complied with the October 2024 order. He has not
12 complied with the November 2024 order, either. In addition, Plaintiff has not requested
13 extensions of time to comply with these orders.

14 II. DISCUSSION

15 Both the Court and the public have an interest in the disposal of cases in an expedient
16 manner. See generally Hernandez v. City of El Monte, 138 F.3d 393, 399 (9th Cir. 1998)
17 (presuming public has interest in expeditious litigation). Plaintiff's failure to file a signed
18 complaint with his own case number on it, and a six-month prison trust fund account statement as
19 required by law (see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2)) has stalled this process, and it warrants the Court
20 issuing an order directing him to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for failure
21 to obey court orders. As a result, an order to show cause shall issue. Plaintiff will be given thirty
22 days to respond to it. As an alternative to Plaintiff filing the showing of cause, within the same
23 thirty-day period, Plaintiff may instead either pay the filing fee in full or submit an application to
24 proceed in forma pauperis along with a copy of the certified six-month prison trust fund account
25 statement as he has been previously ordered to do.

26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

27 1. Within thirty days from the date of this order Plaintiff shall SHOW CAUSE why this
28 matter should not be dismissed for failure to obey court orders, and

